Missouri Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)

2005 Osage County Scoring Worksheet - Glacial Till								
Applicant(s):):					
Add	lress:							
Farı	n Number: Tract Number: Acres in Application:							
LRF	: New Farmer: Livestock Operation: if yes, type:							
Complete this scoring worksheet for each concern below. Score each tract on a separate scoring worksheet. Award points only for practices planned to receive cost share or incentive payments.			Points Possible	Points Awarded				
	Special Emphasis/Water Quality Areas: 20 point maximum							
(1)	Offered acres are in the watershed of a 303d list stream. EQIP planned practice(s) must address the water quality concern in the watershed area identified.		10		Α			
	OR Offered acres are in the watershed of a public drinking water supply reservoir.		5		, ,			
(2)	Percent of unbuffered perennial or intermittent streams, wetlands, sinkholes, or permanent waterbodies planned for buffers.		% X 8		В			
(3)	Planned well plugging of all abandoned well(s) on offered acres.		2		С			
	Total Special Emphasis/Water Quality Area Points (A + B + C) = Livestock Concerns: 70 point maximum		20		D			
(4)	Planned improvements made to an existing animal waste management system - such as implementing a waste utilization plan, installing manure transfer pipe, installing roof over existing facilities, adding a composter to a facility, adding solid separation, adding a flush system or similar improvements, closing or converting an existing animal waste facility or planned improvements that address air quality.		35					
	OR Planned replacement of an inadequate or failing animal waste system or planned installation of a system on an <u>existing</u> operation for collection, storage and/or treatment of animal waste. a. Planned 0-50% expansion.		35		Е			
	b. Planned 51%-100% expansion.		25					
	c. Planned >100% of expansion.		15					
	OR Planned installation of a <u>new</u> animal waste system for collection, storage, treatment for a <u>new</u> livestock operation and/or enterprise.		10					
(5)	Planned <u>new</u> prescribed grazing system:		25					
	a. 8 or more paddocks b. 4-7 paddocks		18		F			
	OR		_		•			
(=)	Existing prescribed grazing system with plans to increase paddocks by 4 or more new paddocks.		10					
(6)	Plan to convert a minimum of 20% of existing cool season pasture and/or hayland acres to native warm season grasses.		6		G			
(7)	Plan to improve a minimum of 30% of existing pasture acres by interseeding legumes.		4		Н			
	Total Livestock Concern Points (E + F + G +H) =		70		I			
	Cropland Concerns: 40 point maximum							
(8)	Percent of offered cropland acres planned for nutrient management.		% X 15		J			
(9)	Percent of offered cropland acres treated to reduce sheet and/or rill soil erosion (any practice that will reduce sheet and/or rill soil erosion from the existing condition) or treated to address air quality (any practice(s) that will have positive impacts on air quality, including crop rotation, cover crops, and/or buffer practices).		% X 10		K			
(10)	Erodibility index of predominate soil type on offered cropland acres >=25.		10		L			
(11)	Percent of offered cropland acres planned for pest management.		% X 5		М			
	Total Cropland Concern Points (J + K + L + M) =		40		N			

	Missouri Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)			Page 2 of 2
Арр	2005 Osage County Scoring Worksheet - Glacial Till Dlicant(s): Tract Number:	Percent Planned	Points Possible	Points Awarded
	Wildlife and Forestry Concerns: 20 point maximum			
(12)				
	OR Planned restoration of declining habitats - prairie, savanna, glade, riparian forest, permanent wetland - on the contract acres to be treated. Plan practices only on suitable soils.		% X 10	0
(13)			6	Р
(14)	Agroforestry farming systems planned (minimum of 10 acres) on woodland or transitional soils.		2	Q
			2	R
	Total Wildlife and Forestry Concerns (O + P + Q + R) =		20	S
	Compliance with Regulations: 50 point maximum			
(16)	Application includes resource concern(s) subject to Local, County, State or Federal Regulation.		50	Т
	Total Points for Compliance with Regulations (T) =		50	U
	Subtotal (D + I + N + S + U) =		200	V
	Cost Effectiveness of Planned Conservation Practices: 50 point maxim	num		
	Cost Effectiveness Index = Total EQIP Dollars/ Subtotal of EQIP Points (V)			
	Total EQIP Dollars requested =			
	Subtotal of EQIP Points (V) = Cost Effectiveness Index =			
(4.7)			50	
(17)	If cost effectiveness index is 0.0 to 200.0		50]
Ì	OR If cost effectiveness index is 200.1 to 400.0		40	
İ	OR		30	W
ı	If cost effectiveness index is 400.1 to 600.0 OR		30	
l	If cost effectiveness index is 600.1 to 800.0		20	
ı	OR If cost effectiveness index is 800.1 to 1000.0		10	
	Total Cost Effectiveness Points (W) =		50	X
	Local Resource Priorities: 50 point maximum			
(18)	Identified Local Concern: Grazing Land Health		25	Y
` '	Identified Local Concern: Animal Waste Management		15	Z
	Identified Local Concern: Nutrient and Pesticide Impacts on Water Quality		10	AA
	Total Local Resource Priority Points (Y + Z + AA) =		50	ВВ
	Total Points (Score) this Application (V + X + B	B) =	300	
	submitting this Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) application to NRCS for consideration. tices started prior to an application being selected for funding and approved as a contract are not eligible			•
	Signature of Applicant		Date	
	Signature of NRCS/SWCD Technical Representative		Date	

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).